IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 550 OF 2006.
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 274 OF 2006.

Nirmaladevi Manohar Ranaware ... Applicant.
Versus.
Tejraj Anraj Chhajed and others. ... Respondents.

Shri J.Shekhar for the Applicant.
Shri N.V.Cechalekar for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.
DATED : 3rd April, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Rule. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 waives service. The learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that he is confining the relief claimed in this Application to the Respondent No.1. Hence service of Rule on other Respondents is not necessary. Taken up for hearing forthwith.

2. The Respondent No.1 is admittedly in possession of the property. As the Second Appeal is admitted for final hearing, Respondent No.1 is not expected to create any third party interests in respect of the suit property. Hence the Civil Application is disposed of by the following order:

3. Till final disposal of the Second Appeal, Respondent No.1 is restrained from creating any third party interests in respect of the suit property without the permission of this Court.

Judge.